## 

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 United States District Court 8 Eastern District of California 9 10 11 David C. Rivers, 12 13 Plaintiff, No. Civ. S 04-2524 GEB PAN P Order 14 vs. E. Roszko, et al., 15 16 Defendants. 17 -000-November 29, 2004, plaintiff filed a civil rights complaint 18 19 and an application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 20 U.S.C. § 1915. Plaintiff was a prisoner when he filed but is now 21 at liberty. 22 Plaintiff's affidavit demonstrates that, because of poverty, 23 he cannot pay or give security for court costs and still be able to provide himself with the necessities of life. See Adkins v. 24

E.I. Dupont de Nemours & Co., 335 U.S. 331, 339 (1948).

25

26

## Case 2:04-cv-02524-GEB-EFB Document 7 Filed 04/28/05 Page 2 of 2

Accordingly, plaintiff's application is granted.

Plaintiff shall provide the United States Marshal with complete, current service instructions, summonses, and sufficient copies of the complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(c) so the Marshal may effect service within the time required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 4(m). The Marshal shall then serve process upon defendants pursuant to plaintiff's instructions without payment of fees or expenses therefor. See 28 U.S.C. § 1915(d)

/s/ Peter A. Nowinski PETER A. NOWINSKI

Magistrate Judge

So ordered.

Dated: April 26, 2005.